1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
3	
4 5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR20-215-RSM
6	Plaintiff, ) SEATTLE, WASHINGTON
7	v. ) October 8, 2021
8	SAMANTHA FRANCES BROOKS, ) 9:00 a.m.
9	Defendant. ) Sentencing Hearing
10	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13 14 15	APPEARANCES:
16	
17	For the Plaintiff: Philip Kopcyzinski
18	Assistant United States Attorney 700 Stewart Street
19	Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101
20	
21	For the Defendant: Michele Shaw Law Offices of Michele Shaw
22	2125 Western Avenue Suite 330
23	Seattle, WA 98121
24	
25	

THE CLERK: This is the matter of the United States versus Brooks, case No. CR20-215 assigned to this court.

Counsel, will you please make your appearances for the record.

MR. KOPCZYNSKI: Good morning, Your Honor. Philip Kopczynski for the United States.

THE COURT: Counsel.

MS. SHAW: Good morning, Your Honor, Michele Shaw present with Sam Brooks -- I'm sorry, Samantha Brooks, seated to my left, out of custody. And there are also family members and friends present on behalf of Ms. Brooks.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Shaw.

Counsel, a word of COVID protocol. We have decided as a district that we'd like everyone to remain masked in the courtroom, unless you're speaking, in which case if you are fully vaccinated and you feel comfortable, you may lower your mask when you speak. There's no requirement that you go to the podium, if you do not want to. You are just as welcome to stay at counsel table and speak into the microphone. Let me remind you that if you choose to leave your mask on when you're speaking, please do your best to slow down a little bit, speak as distinctly as you can. That will help out our court reporter.

We are here for sentencing on a single count. Let me indicate for you and for our record exactly what the court

```
1
    has received and had a full and complete opportunity to
 2
    review prior to our hearing this morning.
 3
        The court has reviewed the plea agreement of the parties,
 4
    the government's sentencing memorandum, the defendant's
 5
    sentencing memorandum, with all of the exhibits and the
 6
    letters of support. The court has reviewed the presentence
 7
    investigation report prepared by U.S. Probation Officer Sara
 8
            Ms. Moore is also present with us in the courtroom
 9
    this morning.
10
        And, finally, the court has had a chance to review the
11
    release status report in this case submitted by U.S.
12
    Probation Officer Daniel Acker. Trusting the parties have
13
    had that same opportunity as the court to fully review all of
14
    these materials, Mr. Kopczynski, if I could have the
15
    government's recommendation, first of all.
16
             MR. KOPCZYNSKI: Yes, Your Honor. The government is
17
    recommending a year and a day of custody and three years of
18
    supervised release.
19
             THE COURT:
                         Anything else you'd like to say in terms
20
    of the rationale for the government's recommendation?
21
             MR. KOPCZYNSKI:
                                     And if I may, I'll go to the
                              Sure.
22
    podium.
23
             THE COURT: You may.
24
             MR. KOPCZYNSKI:
                              So, thank you, Your Honor.
        The court, of course, is well familiar with this case.
25
```

The court has just mentioned the written material that I understand Your Honor has reviewed. Of course, also we now have in the record the trial of the co-defendant in this case. And from that overall record, to the government's way of thinking, there are two overriding factors in this case that deserve the court's attention at today's sentencing. And those are the seriousness of this offense and the need for general deterrence. So I'll take those in that order.

The seriousness of the offense. You know, the court has seen the PSR and heard about this subject at trial, the number of law enforcement personnel that responded when this defendant and the co-defendant were found that night, nearly a year ago, it was three, four, five people that quickly went to the scene. They had the cameras set up. There was an officer from BNSF who was watching those cameras. I think it was a Saturday night, it's near midnight, yet he still is keeping an eye on those cameras. They are rushed to the scene. That is reflective of the fact that this is extraordinarily dangerous conduct.

We have seen, just in this past year in this country, and in Western Washington, the consequences when things go wrong with these big, massive freight trains. And we know that night a freight train, carrying dozens of cars of crude oil, was scheduled to come through this exact spot where this defendant placed a shunt on the rails. So this is just

exceptionally dangerous conduct.

And I appreciate the defendant, as part of the presentence process, has stated not only full acceptance of responsibility, but perhaps some notion they perhaps didn't appreciate the full gravity of the situation. And that may be true to a degree, but we must recognize any person who is messing around with the rails for a freight train would appreciate that that is serious business. Any person who messed around with the signaling system for a traffic light, would know they're doing something that is dangerous. That is basic common sense.

And we think to interfere with a railroad in this fashion, no matter the motivation, is just exceptionally dangerous conduct. It is fortunate that nothing worse happened than it did, that the shunt was discovered right away. And the seriousness of the offenses is of great importance today, as the court considers the sentence.

Related to all that is what I think is the second point here, Your Honor, and that is the notion of general deterrence. The court, again, is well familiar with the record here. There were, last year in Western Washington, dozens of shunting incidents. Some of this is in the PSR. The notion that at least out in the sort of public domain this idea that this was an act of environmental activism or sympathy with the causes of indigenous people, again, the

matter, the motivation, this is just exceptionally dangerous and inappropriate conduct. And to have dozens of those incidents, makes it only a matter of time before one of them results in a great disaster.

And fortunately, those have just completely fallen off a cliff. After this case, these arrests -- and I am in no way saying that the two defendants here are responsible for those other incidents -- but the fact is, this arrest, this case, this prosecution, it has made a difference. The shunting incidents have stopped. And we think the court today, at the conclusion of this important case, can send the message that this behavior is not acceptable. And we think the appropriate message is a guideline sentence.

We recognize the acceptance of responsibility that's terrifically important. The guidelines account for that. We recognize this defendant had a lesser role in the offense. The guidelines also account for that. Once those factors are accounted for, we think the appropriate sentence is at the low end of the guidelines; that's a year and a day.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

Your Honor, respectfully, I'm fully vaccinated, so is my client, and my client wishes to address the court this

morning, and they would also like to remove their mask when addressing Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. SHAW: Your Honor, the defense recommendation today is that the court would sentence Sam Brooks -- my apologies -- Samantha Brooks, to 18 months of location monitoring, followed by 36 months of supervised release, and 100 hours of community service. This recommendation is a very slight deviation from the advisory range of imprisonment. And we're basing this recommendation on the seriousness of the offense, specific and general deterrence, and the history and characteristics of Ms. Brooks.

Your Honor, this sentence is indeed a punitive sentence to Ms. Brooks. It significantly impacts their freedom for a long time. However, the most important factor to Ms. Brooks at this time is that they keep their job. It took them ten months to find any type of work, Your Honor. And that was because, when people would run Ms. Brooks' background, the nature of the charge, and the Internet is full of information about this case, this is the first job that they've had at this time.

They have medical insurance and they have health benefits, which allows them to take care of medical issues that they're dealing with, along with the weekly mental health therapy with Doug McClosky, which is a core part of Ms. Brooks'

stability at this time.

As stated in my memorandum, Ms. Brooks has struggled a lot since their arrest on this. It's completely turned their life upside down. They were suspended from college. Fortunately, they were allowed to return and finish the two weeks of undergrad, because they had the support of many professors. However, on their transcript now, Your Honor, is that mark, it's indelible. It's there forever.

And so Ms. Brooks' dreams of going to graduate school may not ever be met. But they're going to try, they are determined. They are prepared to take an online course, or do whatever it might take. But they know that it will take extra time now.

The proposed sentence is one that absolutely does recognize the seriousness of the offense. Ms. Brooks understands very clearly, Your Honor, that punishment is a part of this process. And Ms. Brooks is not suggesting at all that punishment is not appropriate in this case.

I would ask the court respectfully to consider other forms of punishment besides a simple custodial sentence, because as the court knows, these cases affect every facet of our client's life. There's been a tremendous amount of press on this case, and many reporters, regretfully, continue to refer to Ms. Brooks as a terrorist. This is an enduring punishment. It's a punishment that will last, perhaps, for a

1 In many respects, I don't know how to say it 2 respectfully, but Ms. Brooks has been branded a terrorist. 3 And I would ask the court to consider that. We've talked about the education significance, the job 4 5 loss, the health benefits loss, but also, Your Honor, in 6 terms of history and characteristics, I think it's important 7 to recognize that Ms. Brooks lost their support community in 8 Bellingham, which was a huge issue to them, given their 9 teenage years growing up that were full of vitriol, 10 harassment, and the threat of violence on a very frequent 11 basis, due to Ms. Brooks' sexual identity. Ms. Brooks' 12 spirit, relationships, and mental health, suffered a great 13 deal during that time. 14 With respect to specific deterrence, a custodial sentence 15 is absolutely not necessary. Ms. Brooks has left -- led. I 16 apologize, excuse me, an exemplary life outside of this 17 incident. And there's no factors to suggest that Ms. Brooks is a risk to the community for future criminal offense 18 19 behavior. 20 Regarding general deterrence, I want to let the court know 21 -- I don't believe that it's in any of our pleadings, that 22 the government is fully aware, I believe, and I don't think I 23 heard anything different today, that Ms. Brooks has 24 absolutely no connection to any prior shunting incidents.

They have never associated themselves with the anarchist

group. Ms. Brooks is not an anarchist. They've never chosen to identify themselves with that group of people.

Regarding the other -- excuse me, regarding whether or not there have been other shunting incidents related to the group that was, indeed, probably responsible for the 40-plus shunting incidents that the government referred to, for those that were opposing the Coastal GasLink Pipeline Project, those have ceased. The government stated that this morning.

The trial and conviction of Ms. Reiche also received a great deal of press and media attention, and has likely also, Your Honor, served as a deterrent to others who may be thinking of engaging in this behavior.

Ms. Brooks is an absolutely incredible human being.

They're selfless, they're gifted, intelligent, generous. And as stated in one of the letters, they're driven by deep principles, with a fierce commitment to protect and elevate the vulnerable. They've immersed themselves in education.

They have an incredible sense of what it means to be a part of a community for a young person their age.

At the time this incident occurred, or shortly before, they were serving the community by working serving the homeless population that were camped out in front of the City of Bellingham building there.

They were doing this work. They were winterizing tents.

They were taking people to medical appointments. They were

```
1
    going and sitting with them in the hospital, while they were
 2
    taking a full college load, and while they were working
 3
    30 hours a week. This is demonstrative of who their soul is.
    This is a very, very good human being, with so much
 4
 5
    potential.
        Ms. Brooks must start to rebuild their life. They have
 6
    the support of their family. They have a job right now.
 7
 8
    They're willing to be on house arrest for 18 months.
 9
    36 months of strict supervision is no problem for Ms. Brooks.
10
    They have been absolutely in strict compliance with
11
    everything that U.S. Pretrial Services expects.
12
    100 hours of community service is something Ms. Brooks would
13
    love to do. It's a part of their life. There are many forms
14
    of punishment in this case, and I would respectfully ask the
15
    court to consider the different forms of punishment that.
16
    again, will be enduring for Ms. Brooks.
17
        And while a custodial sentence is also a punishment,
18
    defense respectfully requests that the court adopt our
19
    recommendation, which is a sentence that is appropriate but
20
    not greater than necessary.
21
        Your Honor, I also want to state, while it hasn't been a
22
    part of any 5K motion or cooperation, that my client did sit
23
    down and meet with the government. My client did meet with
24
    an agent. They didn't have anything to offer, but their
```

spirit of cooperation in this case in wanting to say: Yes, I

did this; yes, I'm sorry, I'm accepting responsibility, in my opinion went far beyond simply pleading guilty.

I have spent hundreds of hours with Ms. Brooks. And I respectfully request that Your Honor consider the history and characteristics of Ms. Brooks. What they've accomplished in their lifetime, what they have to give, and the significant loss it would be at this time in their life, to lose this job, to lose health benefits, and to lose the weekly counseling.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Shaw.

MS. SHAW: May my client address the court?

THE COURT: She may.

Good morning. There's no requirement this morning that you say anything, at all. However, you absolutely have the right to address the court, before the court decides what the appropriate punishment should be in this case. But I have -- I know you've got something prepared, and I read all the letters of support that were submitted on your behalf.

Ms. Shaw is an excellent attorney, I've known her basically her entire career. She's advocating as well as she possibly can for you.

But in your comments this morning, I want you to tell me what in the world would you say to other young, perhaps naive, would-be protestors, that think that they've got to go

```
1
    to this extent to protest what may be a very worthwhile goal.
 2
    The press is going to report this. Everybody is going to
 3
    read about it. The fact that there have been no other
 4
    shunting incidents since this arrest means everybody is kind
 5
    of waiting to see, are you going to prison? How long are you
 6
    going to prison for? What do you want to say?
                             I would say -- may I take off my
 7
             THE DEFENDANT:
    mask, Your Honor?
 8
 9
             THE COURT: You may.
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I think that anyone, regardless of
11
    your political affiliations, should think more critically
12
    about the consequences of your actions when participating in
13
    activism.
14
             MS. SHAW: Excuse me, I can't hear. Can Your Honor
15
    hear?
16
             THE COURT: Go ahead, pull that microphone a little
17
    bit closer to you.
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Sorry.
19
             THE COURT: I know you're nervous, but go ahead.
20
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Thank you. I would say my primary
21
    concern for anyone who is watching this case and thinking of
22
    consequences, is to think more critically than I would say I
23
    did, about the intention that you put into how you interact
```

with your community and the impact of your actions on the

people around you, as well as the long-term consequences of

24

how you show up for political movements, regardless of your affiliations.

One of the things that I've taken away from this case is, regardless of how burnt out, or what my understanding of the situation was, is that I didn't conduct myself with my best judgment, and I wouldn't have put myself in this position intentionally in any way. And that the consequences of my actions have been far greater than just myself, but also my community, and the ways in which I was able to help the people around me. And that participating in a political action in any form has long-term consequences for not just yourself, but also for the people around you and who care about you.

THE COURT: What else would you like to say?

THE DEFENDANT: I have a statement prepared, if that's all right with Your Honor.

I want to first apologize for my role in the incident on November 28th. I understand that there's no excuse for my behavior. And I would also like to express that I would never knowingly cause harm to my community. My comprehension of the situation was severely lacking at the time, and I didn't understand the extent of what I was doing, or the possible consequences of my actions. Knowing that that's not an excuse, I do now understand and am deeply sorry for both the potential harm, as well as the impacts that my behavior

had.

A year ago, I was in the final quarter of my bachelor's degree, and working a job that I dreamed of since the first quarter of that degree. I dedicated my career and free time for being a better resource for my community. And throwing that away is not something I would do lightly.

In the next year, I hope to get back to where I was then, working on my education, and my health, and being a productive and stable community member. This time, with a better perspective on the intention that I put into my interactions with the community, and thinking more critically in the ways that I've used poor judgment.

I want to also express that causing death or injury to anyone in my community is not something that aligns with my values, and it's not something that I ever planned to do. Through all this, I also understand that my apology is just words, and I would really hope to, in the coming year, show through action that with therapy, addressing my health, and building stability and work, that I can continue to become a better community member.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Another question for you.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What do you think about the label

25 | "terrorist"?

November 2, 2021 - 16

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I understand why it was initially used. But I disagree with it. And I have been personally hurt by it. I don't know that it's ever not going to come up next to my name on a Google search. And I don't know that -- I don't know, I'm pretty young, I don't know what the long-term consequences of it are going to be. But I'm not a fan. I don't quite know how to articulate my feelings around it. It's complicated.

I disagree with how I've been labeled, but I understand where it came from. I'm not sure if it answers your question.

THE COURT: Would your answer be different if that train that was coming right after that shunt was placed had

THE COURT: Would your answer be different if that train that was coming right after that shunt was placed had derailed and spilled thousands of gallons of crude oil into that residential neighborhood?

THE DEFENDANT: My answer about my feelings around the word "terrorist"?

THE COURT: Correct. Would it be applicable to you then, at that point in time?

THE DEFENDANT: I think I would still understand where it was coming from. But I didn't go into this with larger political intentions, or even intentions to derail a train. And I understand that that's not an excuse for my behavior and it doesn't justify the way that I have conducted myself leading up to this. But I would still feel that I'm

not politically aligned as a terrorist. But I would still be understanding of why I'm labeled that way.

THE COURT: Did you read about the fact that on

September 25th, just a few days ago, an Amtrak train derailed in Montana, I believe killing three people and injuring scores more?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: One final question for you.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Because the press I'm sure is going to report this, because the government is asking me to send you to prison for a lengthy period of time in order to deter others --

THE DEFENDANT: Um-hum.

THE COURT: -- I, for one, don't have any fear that you will commit another crime like this again, but my job is also to protect the community. The last question I have for you is: Even giving credence to the potential goal, issues with climate change, issues with materials like this being transported over the railway lines, it's a very real questions. A lot of young, intelligent individuals may feel very strongly. How best should they attack or address this issue and not put other people in danger?

THE DEFENDANT: Um, I would say respectfully, Your Honor, I don't really have a comprehensive answer for that.

But I would say in terms of what makes a difference, I've
always found that on larger issues, like climate change, that
are largely very much out of the hands of, I feel, most
individuals, figuring out ways to contribute to your
community, and figuring out ways to impact your immediate
circle, is the most productive way to continue to make the
world a better place.

Because I don't think that there is anything that a single individual can do that would radically change climate change. But you can make an impact on the people around you. And you can make your immediate environment better, and that is something you could have a direct impact on. And focusing on that is what I've found to be the most productive and fulfilling.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel, let me take a moment to check with our probation officer, Ms. Moore.

Good morning. Thank you for your very thorough presentence investigation report, and your recommendation as well. Having heard from everyone this morning, is there anything else you would like to say for our record?

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor. I would just like to say that this was a difficult case for our office. I staffed it with members of my presentence team.

Just given the various factors, you know, I am impressed by

Sam's background, her acceptance of responsibility in this case. And we are sympathetic to all the collateral consequences of their actions. But in the end, we felt that a term, a small custodial sentence of six months is warranted to provide punishment for an offense that had considerable consequences.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counsel, there's no disagreement amongst the parties regarding the calculation of the guideline range the court is supposed to utilize here. The total offense level for Samantha Brooks falls at 13. No countable criminal history, therefore Category 1. That works out to an advisory range of 12 to 18 months in prison. There are no mandatory minimums in this particular case the court has to consider. 0f course, the statutory provision is up to 20 years in prison. Sam Brooks, the reason why this offense carries a potential penalty of 20 years in prison is -- hopefully the lesson you have learned since this occurred to you, that could have been a terrible disaster that could have occurred there. very familiar with that particular area, personally, because I grew up in Whatcom County. I was just there for lunch back in July, that very same area, that little park not very far away from there, Marine View Drive.

While the court can certainly understand a potential reason behind why someone may want to protest toxic materials

November 2, 2021 - 20

or even crude oil being transported along these rail lines,
the potential of what could have occurred is staggering.

Let's assume that no one got seriously hurt or injured, but
just let's assume that a small derailment occurred. Do you
have any idea how much money it would cost to clean up a
toxic oil spill in a residential area, how much damage would
have been done in terms of dollars?

Counsel, the court has taken all the factors that impact sentencing into account, and will impose the following sentence: In this particular case, as indicated, the court will place Samantha Brooks on three years of supervised release. During that period of three years, they are to follow all of the conditions that are standard, as well as the four -- or three, sorry, three special conditions, with one small change, that have been recommended by probation. Those three are as follows: Let me summarize them for purposes of our record this morning.

No. 1, the defendant will participate, as directed, in a mental health program, as approved by the United States

Probation office. To the extent they're able to contribute towards the cost of those programs, and the defendant is financially able to do so, that will be determined by probation.

No. 2, the defendant will submit to property, person, residences, storage units, computers, data-storage devices,

any media, to searches conducted by a U.S. Probation Officer at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner, based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband, or evidence of a violation of a condition of supervision.

The defendant is to warn any other occupants of any premises occupied that they may be subject to searches, pursuant to this condition.

No. 3, the defendant shall complete 200 hours of community service, as directed and approved by U.S. Probation, to be completed within the first two full years of being on supervised release.

The court understands the guideline provisions for a fine call for a fine of \$5,500 to \$55,000. However, the court finds that this defendant does not have the current financial ability to pay that fine. That will be waived by the court. Restitution, thankfully, is not an issue in this case, it's not applicable. By statute, by law, the court may not waive the penalty and special assessment. One hundred dollars for every felony count. There was only one count here, therefore that is set at \$100.

That only leaves the amount of potential custodial time to impose. The court is going to impose in this case six months of custody, credit for any time served, to be followed by four months of home confinement, electronic monitoring.

They've done really well on supervised release pending

```
1
    sentencing. The recommendation by probation is to allow them
 2
    to self-report. The court will follow that recommendation.
 3
             MS. SHAW: Your Honor, does the court know if
 4
    Ms. Brooks would fulfill the terms of that sentence at FDC
 5
    Sea-Tac?
 6
             THE COURT: Yes, there would be no objection.
 7
             MS. SHAW:
                        Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT:
                         Mr. Kopczynski, do you have the proposed
 9
    judgment form?
10
             MR. KOPCZYNSKI: No, Your Honor. I'm sorry, I did
    not bring that.
11
12
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: I have one, Your Honor.
13
             MR. KOPCZYNSKI: Or at least I didn't bring a printed
14
           I apologize.
    copy.
15
        I apologize, Your Honor. This is my ignorance coming from
16
    a different district, and I wasn't aware of the procedure
17
    here.
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: Did Your Honor intend for the
18
19
    home detention component of location monitoring? So that's
20
    kind of the second most restrictive. They would be confined
21
    to their residence, except for medical, doctor, legal?
22
             THE COURT: Yes.
             MS. SHAW: Your Honor, my client, when they serve the
23
24
    four months of electronic home monitoring, do they have
25
    permission to work?
```

1 THE COURT: Absolutely. That would be part of it, 2 you know, and health visits, and other things like that. 3 Obviously everything going through probation. MS. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 5 Your Honor, I've reviewed the proposed judgment and 6 sentence. It comports with Your Honor's oral ruling. 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Shaw. You may approach. 8 Counsel, two final matters for the record. 9 Number one, as the proposed judgment form accurately 10 reflects the sentence imposed by the court, it's been dated, 11 signed, it may be filed with the clerk. 12 Number two, I would point out, I believe it's paragraph 14 13 of the parties' plea agreement, waives the defendant's right 14 to appeal the sentence, or any aspect of the sentence, so long as the court imposed a custodial sentence within or 15 16 below the guideline range. The court went substantially 17 below the guideline range, therefore the terms of that 18 paragraph will apply. 19 And, finally, Samantha Brooks, courts don't sentence people for who they are, but for what they do. You, from all 20 21 the letters of support that I received, from the fact that these people are here for you today, says you have a lot of 22

support. You've also had a lot of challenges and a lot of adversity in your life. There's no reason why you can't get over this as well, because you have so much more positive to

23

24

```
1
    contribute to society.
 2
        Good luck. All right?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: We'll be at recess.
 4
                              (Adjourned.)
 5
 6
 7
                         CERTIFICATE
 8
 9
        I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
10
    the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
11
12
13
14
15
    /s/ Debbie Zurn
16
    DEBBIE ZURN
    COURT REPORTER
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```